

# AY 2022-2023 ASSESSMENT REPORT

Issued: Spring 2024 (updated: May 1, 2024) The Peregrine Academic Services Assessment Test (PAS) is the instrument used to measure student performance in key indicators. Faculty believed that the PAS provided many benefits that could be instrumental to identifying, measuring, and amending student learning outcomes over the long-term. Among these benefits is having the raw data to be able to analyze, assessing the ACBSP Common Professional Component, breadth of outside benchmarking opportunities, and the pretest/posttest model. This approach has been used with only minor changes since the 2014-2015 academic year (See Appendix 1). To coincide with the ACBSP Reaffirmation process (and the 2021-2022 Self-Study Year), the decision was made to thoroughly review our Program Assessment processes, and make changes to the process, in the spirit of continuous improvement.

		<b>l have basic ki</b> s Policy course	nowledge of the e, the students wi	12/13 disciplines of	Division of Business f the ACBSP Undergraduate Common Professional Component. Academic Services Assessment Test (PAS).
			of Results		
Performance Measure (Competency)	Description of Measurement Instrument	Areas of Success	Analysis and Action Taken	Results of Action Taken (occurs in the following year)	NWOSU scores above 50 <sup>th</sup> Percentile
60% of the students will score above the 50 <sup>th</sup> percentile on the PAS	Peregrine Assessment Test Direct External Summative Comparative	Performance has been consistently below target, but has shown a positive trend. Scores are still below target.	Score reflects students' knowledge after all core courses are completed. Significant Faculty churn has taken place over the past five years. Wait to see if the higher score in 21-22 is an anomaly.	Take no action until after the 2023-24 Report. Monitor for continued positive trend.	70% 60% 50% 40% 40% 50th Percentile 30% 50th Percentile 20% 10% 18-19 19-20 20-21 21-22 22-23

**1.** Graduating students should have basic knowledge of the 12/13 disciplines of the ACBSP Undergraduate Common Professional Component. During the MGMT 4433 Business Policy course, the students will take the Peregrine Academic Services Assessment Test (PAS).

#### Measure 2

Performance Measure	Description of Measurement	Areas of Success	Analysis and Action Taken	Results of Action Taken	NWOSU Mean Scores vs. ACBSP Region 6
(Competency) The institution mean PAS score	Peregrine Assessment	Performance of ACBSP	Scores are	(occurs in the following year) Continue to monitor for	
mean PAS score will be above the ACBSP Region 6 institutions.	Assessment Test Direct External Summative Comparative	of ACBSP Region 6 schools shows flat trend. NWOSU scores are slightly lower, but do seem to follow the Region 6 trend.	expected to mirror one another over the long term if external forces are not a significant factor. Since the Region 6 and NWOSU scores are close, and tend to follow Region 6 scores, no major action will be taken.	monitor for trend and/or match with Region 6 scores.	$\begin{array}{c} 90 \\ 70 \\ 50 \\ 30 \\ 10 \\ -10 \\ 8^{n^{2}} \\ 8^{n$

			Program Outco	mes for All	Division of Business
			<b>reloped communicat</b> will prepare and make		that will be assessed based on a faculty-approved rubric.
		Analysis	s of Results		
Performance Measure (Competency)	Description of Measurement Instrument	Areas of Success	Analysis and Action Taken	Results of Action Taken	Presentation Skills: GBUS3753
80% of the students must score at or higher than acceptable on a faculty- approved rubric.	Research presentations were assessed by faculty using the Presentation Assessment Rubric (Plan Attachment 1) Direct Formative Internal	Performance has improved over the previous 5 years with a significant decrease in 19- 20, but a substantial increase in 20- 21 through 2022-23.	The big drop for 19- 20 seems to be an anomaly. Scores are back to where they were (on target) in previous years.	No changes are to be made. Continue to monitor for trend. 2023-2024 Assessment Committee may modify and/or recommend deletion or demotion of this measure.	Communication Skills

#### **Program Outcomes for All Division of Business** 2. Graduating students should have well developed communication skills. During the MGMT 4433 Business Policy course, the students will prepare and make a presentation that will be assessed with a faculty-developed rubric. Measure 2 **Analysis of Results** Analysis and Action Performance Description Areas of Results of **Oral Communication: MGMT4433** Measure of Success Taken Action Taken (Competency) Measurement Instrument 80% of the Stockholder Results are Long-term results Continue with **Communication Skills** students must indicate that adding presentations steady and at no 100 score at or were or near target presentations in adjustments. multiple classes has Monitor for higher than levels. assessed by largely been acceptable on a faculty using Missing data substantial 80 facultyfor 21-22. successful. change in the approved rubric. Presentation scores. 60 No data for 2021-Assessment Rubric (Plan 2022. See note Watch for -NWOSU 40 Attachment below. trend in 2023-Target 1) 24 Report. New Faculty 20 member for MGMT Direct 4433. 22/23 data Summative 0 Internal point may be outlier. 19:20 10° 20-22 2:22 2:23

NOTE: No Data for 2021-2022. This is due to the sole instructor for Business Policy leaving suddenly in August, 2022. The instructor was contacted in September, 2022 and asked to supply the information, but has declined to do so.

# **Program Outcomes for All Division of Business**

**3)** Graduating students are expected to think critically.

During the MGMT 3063 Principles of Management course, students will prepare a case analysis demonstrating the ability to identify issues, analyze issues, develop reasonable alternatives and propose a plausible solution.

#### Measure 1

		Analysis	of Results			
Performance Measure (Competency) 80% of the students will	Description of Measurement Instrument The assignment was assessed	Areas of Success Performance is at or near	Analysis and Action Taken Student performance has	Results of Action Taken (occurs in the following year) No changes recommended.	Critical Thinking: MGMT3063	<b>;</b>
demonstrate (meet or exceed) acceptable critical thinking abilities using a faculty- approved rubric.	using the Critical Thinking Assessment Rubric (Plan Attachment 4) Direct Formative Internal	target, with a significant decrease in AY18-19. AY19-20 through AY22-23 saw significant improvements in scores.	improved since 18-19. Changes to the content and assignment were done in previous years which may be influencing the measurable outcome. Student perf. Appears to be steady.	Continue to monitor for trend.	80 60 40 20 0 18-19 19-20 20-21 21-22 22-23	→ NWOSU → Target

NOTE: The composite score (78.8) was a composite of three MGMT 3063 classes across two instructors.

		Pr	ogram Outc	omes for All	Division of Business
During the GBU	S 3953 Busines	s, Society & Gove	ernment course, th	ne students will co	bles and demonstrate teamwork skills. Omplete a team Simulation and associated presentation. Peer
evaluation of the <b>Measure 1</b>	e interpersonal c	communication/tea	mwork on the sir	nulation team wil	l be measured using a rubric.
		Analysis o	of Results		
Performance Measure (Competency)	Description of Measurement Instrument	Areas of Success	Analysis and Action Taken	Results of Action Taken (occurs in the following year)	Interpersonal Communication: GBUS3953
80% of the students must score at or higher than the acceptable on a faculty- approved rubric.	Peer Evaluations Indirect Formative Internal	Performance has remained near the target over 5 years.	Significant instructor churn has occurred in last 3 years.	Take no immediate action. Continue to monitor trend.	100 80 60 40 20 0 18-19 19-20 20-21 21-22 22-23

NOTE: The composite score (76.5) was an average of two GBUS 3953 classes (same instructor).

# Program Outcomes for All Division of Business

4) Graduating students are expected to possess knowledge of leadership principles and demonstrate teamwork skills.

During the MGMT 4433 Business Policy course, the students will complete a team Simulation and associated presentation. Peer evaluation of the interpersonal communication/teamwork on the simulation team will be measured using a rubric.

## Measure 2

		Analysis	of Results		
Performance Measure (Competency)	Description of Measurement Instrument	Areas of Success	Analysis and Action Taken	Results of Action Taken (occurs in the following year)	Interpersonal Communication: MGMT4433
80% of the students must score at or higher than the acceptable on a faculty- approved rubric.	Peer Evaluations Indirect Summative Internal	For SP20, FA20, and SP21, the chaos from COVID affected participation and scores. Scores have improved for last two years. Close to target.	A new instructor was tasked with teaching the capstone class: Fall, 2022.	No change. Monitor for continued positive trend.	$100$ $80$ $60$ $40$ $20$ $0$ $80^{2}$ $100^{$

# Program Outcomes for All Division of Business 4) Graduating students are expected to possess knowledge of leadership principles and demonstrate teamwork skills. During the MGMT 4433 Business Policy course, students will take the PAS assessment. Measure 3 Analysis of Results Performance Description of Measure Description of Measure Analysis and Success Analysis and Action Taken Results of Action Taken Occurs in the following year) Leadership Skills vs. ACBSP Region 6

Performance Measure	Description of Measurement	Areas of Success	Analysis and Action Taken	Action Taken	Leadership Skills vs. ACBSP Region 6
(Competency)	Instrument			(occurs in the following year)	
In the PAS Leadership score (Business or Accounting) the testing cohort frequency correct score will be higher than the ACBSP Region 6 frequency correct score.	PAS Direct Summative External	NWOSU student scores are slightly lower, but do come close, and typically mirror ACBSP Region 6 scores.	Scores have remained consistent for most testing periods. Over the last 5 years, score have held steady.	following year) No change to report. Monitor for trend.	100 $80$ $60$ $40$ $40$ $20$ $0$ $100$

			Program Outo	omes for All	Division of Business	
-		s, Society & G			ents. simulation to verify the use of ethical decision-making pro-	cesses.
Performance Measure (Competency)	Description of Measurement Instrument	Areas of Success	Analysis and Action Taken	Results of Action Taken (occurs in the following year)	ETHICS SKILLS: GBUS3953	
80% of the students must score at or higher than acceptable on a faculty- approved rubric.	Various "Ethics" simulations Direct Formative External	Performance is below target but trending upwards. Since AY18- 19 scores have been close to target.	The low data point in AY18-19 is likely a transposing error (61-16). Faculty has changed from 21-22 to present. Scores from 19-20 to present suggest continued improvement in performance.	No changes for 2023-2024. Continue to monitor for trend.	90 80 70 60 50 40 30 20 10 0 18-19 19-20 20-21 21-22 22-23	→ NWOSU → Target

NOTE: The composite score (76.5) was an average of two GBUS 3953 classes (same instructor).

# PROGRAM GOALS FOR ACCOUNTING MAJOR

**1.** Graduating students should have appropriate accounting software skills.

During the ACCT 3153 Cost Accounting I course, there will be an Excel-based project.

#### Measure 1

		Analysis	of Results		
Performance Measure (Competency) 80% of the	Description of Measurement Instrument	Areas of Success Scores have	Analysis and Action Taken Student scores	Results of Action Taken (occurs in the following year) No action	Excel Scores: ACCT3153
students will score at or higher than acceptable on a faculty- approved rubric	Cost Accounting I there will be an Excel-based project, as assessed by Accounting Student Excel Assessment Rubric (Plan Attachment 8) Direct Summative Internal	consistently improved over the time frame and are now above target levels. scores were ow in AY18-19 but recovered since then.	have remained consistent, and close to target for 4 years. Excel has become utilized more in MIS along with other courses having major Excel- based projects.	taken. Continue to monitor trend.	100 80 60 40 20 0 18-19 19-20 20-21 21-22 22-23 MWOSU Target

NOTE: The score (80) was one ACCT 3153 class (same instructor).

# PROGRAM GOALS FOR ACCOUNTING MAJOR

**1.** Graduating students should have appropriate accounting software skills.

During the ACCT 3133 Individual Income Tax course, there will be an income tax software-based project.

Measure 2

		Analysis	of Results		
Performance Measure (Competency) 80% of the	Description of Measurement Instrument In ACCT 3133	Areas of Success Scores have	Analysis and Action Taken Major changes in	Results of Action Taken (occurs in the following year) No action	Income Tax Software: ACCT3113
students will score at or higher than acceptable on a faculty- approved rubric	Individual Income Tax there will be an income tax software-based project, as assessed using Income Tax Software Assessment Rubric (Plan Attachment 9) Direct Summative Internal	been erratic for five years. Scores in 19-20 dropped drastically. Instructors were same. Scores returned to above target for 2020-2021. Scores dropped again in 21- 22. Up again in 22- 23.	the tax code took place recently and instructors did not use tax programs but hand-completed forms because tax software was not current. Lower scores could be attributed to the external elements of major changes in the tax code and faculty adjusted curriculum and content to be relevant and timely.	taken. Continue to monitor trend.	80 60 40 40 20 0 18-19 19-20 20-21 21-22 22-23

NOTE: The score (93) was one ACCT 3133 class (same instructor).

#### **PROGRAM GOALS FOR ACCOUNTING MAJOR 1.** Graduating students should have appropriate accounting software skills. During the MGMT 4433 Business Policy course, students will submit a detailed analysis demonstrating MS Word competency. Measure 3 **Analysis of Results** Performance Description of Areas of Analysis and Results of MS Word Competency: MGMT4433 Action Taken Measure Measurement Success Action Taken (Competency) Instrument (occurs in the following year) 80% of the In MGMT Improvements More written No action 100 students will 4433 Business in student assignments with taken. Policy, scores have detailed Continue to score at or higher than students will been instructions and monitor trend. 80 submit a acceptable on a consistent. guidance was facultydetailed provided in the 60 approved rubric analysis No data for assignment 2021-2022. demonstrating description. NWOSU MS Word See note Additional 40 competency, below. assignments have Target been added in using MS 20 Word other classes as Improvement in scores for Assessment well. AY 2022-Rubric (Plan 0 19:20 1.20 20-22 2:22 2:23 Attachment 2023. 10) Direct Summative Internal

NOTE: The composite score (100) was an average of two MGMT 4433 classes (same instructor).

NOTE: No Data for 2021-2022. This is due to the sole instructor for Business Policy leaving suddenly in August, 2022. The instructor was contacted in September, 2022 and asked to supply the information, but has declined to do so.

	CT 3103 Interm		ounting standards of t ing I course, students		efession. garding Accounting standards.
Performance Measure (Competency)	Description of Measurement Instrument	Analysi Areas of Success	s of Results Analysis and Action Taken	Results of Action Taken (occurs in the following year)	Accounting Standards: ACCT3103
80% of the students will score 80% or higher on the test.	Standards test in ACCT 3103 Direct Formative External	Scores have been erratic since 18-19. Scores improved substantially in 20-21, and 21-22, but dropped in 22-23.	There has been substantial ACCT Faculty turnover in 5 years. New Faculty member for 2022-23.	Monitor for trend based on new faculty teaching the course.	100 80 60 40 20 0 18-19 19-20 20-21 21-22 22-23

NOTE: The composite score (67) was an average of two ACCT 3103 classes (same instructor).

			PROGRAM GO	ALS FOR ACC	COUNTING MAJOR	
	CT 3113 Intern		nting standards of th g II course, students		sion. arding Accounting standards.	
		Analysis	of Results			
Performance Measure (Competency)	Description of Measurement Instrument	Areas of Success	Analysis and Action Taken	Results of Action Taken (occurs in the following year)	Accounting Standards: ACCT3113	3
80% of the students will score 80% or higher on the test.	Standards test in ACCT 3113 Direct Summative External	Performance was above target in all years except for 19-20.	New faculty member was hired in 2022-23 to teach Intermediate II. Scores have generally stayed steady. One data point (19-20) could be anomaly.	Monitor for trend based on new faculty teaching the course.	$ \begin{array}{c} 100 \\ 80 \\ 60 \\ 40 \\ 20 \\ 0 \\ 18-19 \\ 19-20 \\ 20-21 \\ 21-22 \\ 22-23 \\ \end{array} $	→ NWOSU — Target

NOTE: The composite score (86) was an average of two ACCT 3113 classes (same instructor).

Performance Measure (Competency)	Description of Measurement Instrument	Areas of Success	Analysis and Action Taken	Ethic Decisions: Accounting vs. ACBSP Region 6					
On the Ethics section (Business or Accounting) the testing cohort frequency correct score will be higher than the ACBSP Region 6 frequency correct score	PAS Direct Summative External	Outcomes have jumped with no identifiable explanation.	ACBSP Region 6 scores did not appear on the report from PAS for 20-21 or 21- 22.	No action to be taken. Monitor for trend. This outcome measure may be deleted or demoted to a course outcome in the 2023- 2024 Program Assessment process.	Ethical Decision Making				

NOTE: The composite score (44.5) was an average of two MGMT 4433 classes (same instructor).

Performance Measure (Competency)	Description of Measurement Instrument	Areas of Success	Analysis and Action Taken	Results of Action Taken (occurs in the following year)	Accounting Performance Frequency MGMT4433 vs. ACBSP Region 6
On the Accounting section of the PAS assessment test, the testing cohort frequency correct score will be higher than the ACBSP Region 6 frequency correct score.	PAS Direct Summative External	Scores have been fairly consistent, but slightly below target.	ACBSP Region 6 scores not available from the PAS report for 20-21 or 22-23.	No action taken. Monitor for trend.	Accounting Statements

NOTE: The composite score (36.5) was an average of two MGMT 4433 classes (same instructor).

		PROGR	AM GOALS F	OR BUSINES	S ADMINISTRATION MAJOR
During the MGN		s Policy course	wated knowledge i e, students will take		associated with their minor. nent test.
	,		of Results		
Performance Measure (Competency)	Description of Measurement Instrument	Areas of Success	Analysis and Action Taken	Results of Action Taken (occurs in the following year)	Accounting Minors vs. non-Accounting minors Frequency Scores
On the Accounting portion of the PAS test, 80% of the Accounting minors will score above the average frequency correct score of non-Accounting minor students taking the same exam.	PAS Test Direct Summative External	N=<2 in most cohorts. Only 1 student in 21-22. Only 1 student in 22-23.	No results to analyze. Sample size too small.	This outcome measure will be modified or deleted in the 2023-2024 Program Assessment process.	120 100 80 60 40 20 0 18-19 19-20 20-21 21-22 22-23

NOTE: Score (100) based on 1 ACCT major in Spring 2023.

During the MGN		monstrate ele s Policy cours nt minor	vated knowledge e, students will tak		S ADMINISTRATION MAJOR associated with their minor. ent test.
			s of Results		
Performance Measure (Competency)	Description of Measurement Instrument	Areas of Success	Analysis and Action Taken	Results of Action Taken (occurs in the following year)	Management Minors vs. non-Management Minor Frequency
On the Management portion of the PAS test, 80% of the Management minors will score above the average frequency correct score of non- Management minor students taking the same exam.	PAS Test Direct Summative External	Scores are erratic.	The small number of students within each minor per year, creates a situation where the scores vary so drastically that no reasonable conclusion can be made.	following year) No action to take as scores have been inconsistent. This outcome measure may be modified or deleted in the 2023-2024 Program Assessment process.	100 80 60 60 40 20 0 18-19 19-20 20-21 21-22 22-23

NOTE: Composite Scores (50) based on 1 MGMT minor in Fall 2022 (100), and 1 MGMT minor Spring 2023 (0).

		PROGR	AM GOALS F		S ADMINISTRATION MAJOR				
During the MGN		s Policy course		in the disciplines e the PAS assessm	associated with their minor. nent test.				
		Analysis	s of Results						
Performance Measure (Competency)	Description of Measurement Instrument	Areas of Success Action Ta		Results of Action Taken (occurs in the following year)	Marketing Minor vs. non-Marketing Minor Frequency				
On the Management portion of the PAS test, 80% of the Marketing minors will score above the average frequency correct score of non-Marketing minor students taking the same exam.	PAS Test Direct Summative External	Scores are erratic.	The small number of students within each minor per year, creates a situation where the scores vary so drastically that no reasonable conclusion can be made.	Declared minors have decreased in almost all areas except for General Business. Might need to consider reevaluating how this outcome is measured.	100 $80$ $60$ $40$ $40$ $20$ $0$ $80$ $30$ $40$ $40$ $40$ $40$ $40$ $40$ $40$ $4$				

NOTE: Composite Scores (43) based on 1 MKRT minor in Fall 2022 (0), and 6 MRKT minors Spring 2023 (50).

During the MGN		s Policy cours	e, students will tak	in the disciplines are the PAS assessment	associated with their minor. nent test.
Performance Measure (Competency)	Description of Measurement Instrument	Analysis Areas of Success	s of Results Analysis and Action Taken	Results of Action Taken (occurs in the following year)	General Business Minors vs. non-Marketing minors Frequency Scores
On the Management portion of the PAS test, 80% of the General Business minors will score above the average frequency correct score of non-Marketing minor students taking the same exam.	PAS Test Direct Summative External	Scores are erratic.	The small number of students within each minor per year, creates a situation where the scores vary so drastically that no reasonable conclusion can be made.	Might need to consider reevaluating how this outcome is measured.	$100$ $80$ $60$ $40$ $40$ $20$ $0$ $38^{1}N$ $38^{1}N$ $38^{1}N$ $20^{1}N$

NOTE: Composite Scores (43) based on 8 GBUS minors in Fall 2022 (50), and 12 GBUS minors Spring 2023 (58).

		PROGR	AM GOALS F	OR BUSINES	S ADMINISTRATION MAJOR	
During the GBU		s of Entrepren	eurship course, Ent		<b>associated with their minor.</b> nor students will prepare a business plan.	
Performance	Description of		s of Results Analysis and	Results of	Business Plan GBUS36	12
Measure (Competency)	Measurement Instrument	Success	Action Taken	Action Taken (occurs in the following year)	Business Flair GBUS50	15
80% of the students will score at or higher than acceptable on a faculty- approved rubric	Business Plan assessed using Business Plan Assessment Rubric (Plan Attachment 11) Direct Summative External	No data collected.	Changes among faculty members who teach GBUS3613 makes this measurement difficult to obtain and data collected will be unreliable because of the fluidity of the assessment tool. Adjunct faculty, especially their first time assigned to the course have not followed the approved rubric or used it inconsistently from its original intent.	This outcome measure will be modified or deleted in the 2023-2024 Program Assessment process.	90 80 70 60 50 40 30 20 10 0 17-18 18-19 19-20 20-21 21-22	→ NWOSU Mgmt — Target

# PROGRAM GOALS FOR BUSINESS ADMINISTRATION MAJOR

2) Graduating students should demonstrate sufficient knowledge of appropriate business software to prepare appropriate documents. During the MGMT 4433 Business Policy course, students will submit a written detailed analysis in MS Word (or compatible software) format. Measure 1

		Analysis o	of Results		
Performance Measure (Competency) 80% of the students	Description of Measurement Instrument Students will submit a	Areas of Success Scores have improved over	Analysis and Action Taken Emphasis upon the specific	Results of Action Taken (occurs in the following year) This outcome measure may be	MS Word Skills: MGMT4433
must score at or higher than the acceptable on a faculty- approved rubric	written detailed analysis in MS Word (or compatible software) format using the MS Word Assessment Rubric (Plan Attachment 10) Direct Summative Internal	No data for 2021-2022. See note below.	features and in- class discussion and illustrations are covered in class.	deleted or demoted to a course outcome in the 2023- 2024 Program Assessment process.	$120$ $100$ $80$ $60$ $40$ $20$ $0$ $38^{3}N^{9}$ $19^{3}N$ $20^{3}N^{2}$ $19^{3}N$ $20^{3}N^{2}$ $19^{3}N$ $20^{3}N^{2}$ $20^{$

NOTE: The composite score (100) was an average of two MGMT 4433 classes (same instructor).

NOTE: No Data for 2021-2022. This is due to the sole instructor for Business Policy leaving suddenly in August, 2022. The instructor was contacted in September, 2022 and asked to supply the information, but has declined to do so.

## PROGRAM GOALS FOR BUSINESS ADMINISTRATION MAJOR

2) Graduating students should demonstrate sufficient knowledge of appropriate business software to prepare appropriate documents During the MGMT 4433 Business Policy course, students will make a presentation using a PowerPoint (or compatible software) presentation. Measure 2

		Analysis	of Results		
Performance Measure (Competency) 80% of the	Description of Measurement Instrument Stockholder	Areas of Success Scores have	Analysis and Action Taken PPT	Results of Action Taken (occurs in the following year) No action to be	PPT Skills: MGMT4433
students must score at or higher than the acceptable on a faculty- approved rubric	presentations using the PowerPoint Assessment Rubric (Plan Attachment 11) Direct Summative Internal	remained at or above the target for the past 5 years.	assignments were required throughout the curriculum and program. Many courses now require a PPT assignment at either the individual or team level. Dip in scores (19- 20) due to students not submitting assignments as required.	taken. Monitor for trend.	$\begin{bmatrix} 120 \\ 100 \\ 80 \\ 60 \\ 40 \\ 20 \\ 0 \\ 80 \\ 60 \\ 40 \\ 20 \\ 0 \\ 80 \\ 60 \\ 40 \\ 50 \\ 70 \\ 10^{11}$

NOTE: The composite score (92.5) was an average of two MGMT 4433 classes (same instructor).

## PROGRAM GOALS FOR BUSINESS ADMINISTRATION MAJOR

2) Graduating students should demonstrate sufficient knowledge of appropriate business software to prepare appropriate documents During the GBUS 3753 Business Research course, students will demonstrate their ability to use Excel (or compatible software) by completing an assignment Measure 3

		Analysis o	of Results							
Performance Measure (Competency) 80% of the students	Description of Measurement Instrument Excel assignment (or	Areas of Success Five years of reliable data	Analysis and Action Taken Changes in curriculum,	Results of Action Taken (occurs in the following year) No action to be taken. Monitor		Exce	el Skill	s: GBU	83753	
must score at or higher than the acceptable on a faculty- approved rubric	compatible software) format using the Excel Assessment Rubric (Plan Attachment 13) Direct Summative Internal	indicates a consistent performance below target, trend is showing a very small, but consistent, increase in scores. Spring 2020 was unusually low at 38%. Scores jumped substantially for AY20-21.	teaching methods have had some small incremental improvement in student skills. Faculty teaching GBUS3753 has changed effective AY19-20. Disruptions due to COVID-19 likely responsible for low score in	for trend. This outcome measure may be deleted or demoted to a course outcome in the 2023- 2024 Program Assessment process.	90         80         70         60         50         40         30         20         10         0         18-19	19-20 2	20-21	21-22	22-23	→ NWOSU → Target

NOTE: The composite score (81) was an average of two GBUS 3753 classes (same instructor).

#### **APPENDIX 1**

In Spring 2014, Peregrine Academic Services assessment test (PAS) became the instrument for measuring student performance. The PAS is deemed to be a good fit for NWOSU-DOB for the following reasons:

- pre-test/post-test model
- separate Accounting and Business Administration tests
- PAS test tests the 12/13 CPC components of ACBSP standards
- Ability to customize the exams
- No proctor requirement beneficial for online students
- Availability of raw data
- Pay as you go versus pre-payment of tests in blocks
- Longitudinal reporting availability
- Unlimited options regarding cohorts
- Immediate availability of results
- Subject-level analysis

After eight consecutive years of using the PAS and several years of no major curriculum changes, outcomes have a commonality of inputs and output measurements that will provide a meaningful and reliable review and analysis. This structural consistency establishes a foundation upon which reliable benchmarks can be established.

NOTE: Many Program Outcome Measure have been deleted this assessment cycle. The Outcome Measures have been renumbered in this Report.